

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,582	01/16/2002	Victoria M. Bellotti	D/A1490Q	4948
Patent Docume	7590 01/22/2007 ntation Center	EXAMINER		
Xerox Corporation 100 Clinton Ave. S., Xerox Square 20th Floor Rochester, NY 14644			BLAIR, DOUGLAS B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2142	
				•
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
. 3 MO	NTHS	01/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/046,582	BELLOTTI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Douglas B. Blair	2142	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De	ecember 200 <u>5</u> .		
,— .	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	;
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 3-8 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	1	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		,	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the \square	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	1).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
A44			
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)	
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/27/06</u> .	o) [outer ,	•	

Application/Control Number: 10/046,582

Art Unit: 2142

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Claims 3-8 are currently pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 12/29/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 3-8 under the previous grounds of rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,917,965 to Gupta.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 4 refers to the method of claim 3 but claim 3 is actually a system claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following rejection was also made in related application 10/046,581.
- 6. Claims 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

Application/Control Number: 10/046,582

Art Unit: 2142

the invention. Claims 1 and 26 are vague and indefinite because it is unclear of the term "thrask". The examiner is confused of the definition of a thrask. Applicant defined it as "it is like a Microsoft Outlook.TM. thread. However, a thrask is less easy to capture technically, because it does allow for an element of human judgement". It is unclear what is the element of a human judgement. Applicant further defines it as "A thrask may or may not have a single deadline, and may or may not include items representing multiple tasks."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Number 6,917,965 to Gupta et al.
- 9. As to claim 3, Gupta teaches a system for managing and tracking information items within a first message-based system, the first message-based system being one of a set of message-based systems within a workgroup, the first message-based system having a viewer, the system comprising: (a) a thrask generating module generating a plurality of thrasks (col. 3, lines 1-8), each of the thrasks including at least one information item having a set of metadata, the metadata including at least one of the group of a deadline, a reminder, a deferral and an obligation (col. 8, lines 18-31 and 46-54); (b) a sorting module sorting a new information item

Application/Control Number: 10/046,582

Art Unit: 2142

into one of the thrasks in accordance with at least one criterion (col. 9, lines 11-24); (c) a display module displaying one or more of the thrasks and at least one of the metadata of each of the information items in each of the displayed thrasks in the viewer (col. 11, lines 1-4); and an updating module updating the thrasks and at least one metadata (col. 10, lines 16-18).

- 10. As to claim 4, Gupta teaches the system of claim 3 wherein the first message-based system has different capabilities than some in the set of message-based systems (the Gupta invention has different capabilities than a regular email system).
- 11. As to claim 5, Gupta teaches a method for managing and tracking information items within a first message-based system, the first message-based system being one of a set of message-based system within a shared workgroup, the message-based systems being synchronized periodically with a shared workgroup component on a server, the first message-based system having a viewer, the method comprising the operations of: (a) generating a plurality of thrasks (col. 3, lines 1-8), each of the thrasks including at least one information items having a set of metadata (col. 7, line 62-col. 10, line 5, this section defines the set of metadata); (b) sorting a new information items into one of the thrasks in accordance with at least one criterion (col. 9, lines 11-24); (c) displaying one or more of the thrasks and at least one of the metadata of one of the information items in one of the displayed thrasks in the viewer (col. 11, lines 1-4); and (d) providing a shared view of some of the thrasks to a subset of the message-based systems within the shared workgroup in response to a user selection of an option (col. 12, lines 11-23).

Application/Control Number: 10/046,582 Page 5

Art Unit: 2142

12. As to claim 6, Gupta teaches the method of claim 5 further comprising the operation of:
(e) updating at least one of the metadata of one of the information items in one of the thrasks
(col. 10, lines 16-18).

- 13. As to claim 7, Gupta teaches a system for managing and tracking information items within a first message-based system, the first message-based system being one of a set of message-based systems within a shared workgroup, the message-based systems being synchronized periodically with a shared workgroup component on a server, the first message-based system having a viewer, the system comprising: (a) a thrask generating module generating a plurality of thrasks (col. 3, lines 1-8), each of the thrasks including at least one information item having a set of metadata (col. 7, line 62-col. 10, line 5, this section defines the set of metadata); (b) a sorting module sorting a new information items into one of the thrasks in accordance with at least one criterion (col. 9, lines 11-24); (c) a display module displaying one or more, of the thrasks and at least one of the metadata of one of the information items in one of the displayed thrasks in the viewer (col. 11, lines 1-4); and (d) a sharing module providing a shared view of some of the thrasks to a subset of the message-based systems within the shared workgroup in response to a user selection of an option (col. 12, lines 11-23).
- 14. As to claim 8, Gupta teaches the system of claim 7 further comprising: (e) an updating module updating at least one of the metadata of one of the information items in on of the thrasks (col. 10, lines 16-18).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/046,582 Page 6

Art Unit: 2142

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas B. Blair whose telephone number is (571) 272-3893. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Douglas Blair

ANDREW CALDWELL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

ambrew (idelwell)